

APPENDIX C CODE CHANGE PROPOSAL NORTH CAROLINA BUILDING CODE COUNCIL

325 North Salisbury Street Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 (919) 647-0001

Carl.Martin@ncdoi.gov Petition for Rule Making Item Number _____

Granted by BCC Denied by BCC	<u> </u>		oved by RRC_ tion by RRC_		
PROPONENT REPRESENTING	David Smith G <u>Residential Ad Hoc Commi</u>		E <u>(910)-681-</u>	0394	
ADDRESS <u>905 S</u>	Saltwood Lane				
CITY <u>Wiln</u>	nington	_STATE <u>NC</u>	_ ZIP2	28411	
E-MAIL <u>dsm</u> i	ith16@earthlink.net		_ FAX		
Section (R404.4) CHECK ONE:	[X] Revise section to read as follows: [] Add new section to read as follows:	[] Delete secti [] Delete secti	on and substitut on without subs	titution.	
Please type. Continue proposal or reason on plain paper attached to this form. See reverse side for instructions. See attached for modification to the 2018 NCRBC section R404.4 retaining wall sections.					
Will this proposal o	change the cost of construction?	Decrease [X]	Increase []	No []	
Will this proposal increase the cost of a dwelling by \$80 or more? Yes [] No [x]					
Will this proposal a	affect Local or State funds?	Local []	State []	No [x]	
Will this proposal cause a substantial economic impact (\geq \$1,000,000)? Yes [] No [x]					
Non-Substantial - Provide an economic analysis including benefit/cost estimates.					
Substantial - The economic analysis must also include 2-alternatives, time value of money and risk analysis.					
REASON:					
The intent of this modification is to align the residential code with the commercial code for retaining walls on a site not					

supporting a structure. Currently retaining walls exceeding 4' of unbalance fill are in the residential code while the commercial has them at 5'. Additionally, there is no language or figure to illustrate where to measure to when there is a steep grade behind the wall. This language changes the 4' to 5' and where to measure addressing the concerns of current retain wall failures causing significant cost or possible impact on adjacent properties which are with 5 or more of unbalanced fill. This will reduce the number of these walls (site landscaping) that need permits and inspections on residential one and two family site and not have a more stringent standard in the NCRBC than in the NCBC. The change in #3 is to address single walls with unbalanced fill while multiple walls are already addressed in item #4, the inclusion of the figures assist in understanding the

application and how to measure the unb	palanced fill supported.	
Signature	DATE:	BCC CODE CHANGES FORM 3/14/17

R404.4 Retaining walls. Retaining walls that meets the following shall be designed by a *registered design professional*.

- 1. Any retaining wall systems on a residential site that cross over adjacent property lines regardless of vertical height, or
- 2. Retaining walls that support buildings and their accessory structures, <u>undercutting footings 10' or less per R403.1.9 and Figure 403.1.9</u>, <u>or</u>
- 3. <u>Individual</u> Rretaining walls <u>supporting unbalanced backfill</u> exceeding 4 feet (1219mm) 5 feet (1524 mm) of unbalanced backfill in height within a horizontal distance of 15 feet (4572 mm) or less, or
- 4. <u>Multiple</u> Rretaining walls systems providing a cumulative vertical relief of unbalanced backfill heights greater than 5 Feet (1524 mm) in height within a horizontal separation distance of 50 feet (15M) 15 feet (4572 mm) or less.

Retaining walls shall be designed for a safety factor of 1.5 against lateral sliding and overturning.

Additional supporting information

For illustration purposes item #3 would address these individual type walls with steep grades behind them:





Item #4 examples of multiple walls within the 15':



Illustrations below are to assist in understanding the problem associated with retaining walls not properly designed. Typically retaining wall system under 5' have not been an issue and under the proposed language would be exempt from permitting. However, walls over 5' of unbalance fill can have a significant impact and safety concern not just on the single-family site they are located but adjacent properties.

